**Raytracing: Performance**

COSC 4328/5327
Scott A. King

**Ray Genealogy**

- 1 Ray/pixel at 1k × 1k image = 1M rays.
- Say on avg. 6 secondary rays = 7M rays
- 100k objects with 10 ops for intersection = ?
  Operations 7,000,000M ops
- 4GHz processor 5 cycles per op = 800MFLOPS
- How long to render? ~2.5hr
- Where are we spending the time?
- How can we improve performance?
- Take advantage of Coherence
  - Image coherence – close pixels display same object
  - Spatial coherence – close points similarly colored
  - Temporal coherence – pixels change little each frame

**Bounding Volumes**

- Enclose objects/primitives inside volume with simpler intersection test.
- For objects that are intersected do we have an increase or decrease in computation?
- For objects away from ray do we have an increase or decrease in calculations?
- Which case happens more often?

**Acceleration Classification**

- Faster Intersections
  - Faster ray/object intersections
  - Fewer ray/object intersections
- Bounding volumes
- Efficient intersectors for parametric surfaces, fractals, etc.
- Hierarchical bounding volumes
- Space subdivision
- Directional Techniques
- Adaptive raydepth
  - Statistical optimizations for anti-aliasing
- Beam tracing
  - Cone tracing
  - Pencil tracing

**Bounding Volume**

- Ray-bunny intersection takes 70K ray-triangle intersections even if ray misses the bunny
- Place a sphere around bunny
  - Ray A misses sphere so ray A misses bunny without checking 70K ray-triangle intersections
  - Ray B intersects sphere but still misses bunny after checking 70K intersections
  - Ray C intersects sphere and intersects bunny
- Can also use axis-aligned bounding box
  - Easier to create for triangle mesh
Bounding Volumes

- Spheres
- Boxes (parallelepipseds)
- Slabs (pairs of parallel planes)

Cost = \( n \cdot B + m \cdot I \)

where
- \( n \) - number of rays,
- \( m \) - number of rays that intersect bounding volume,
- \( I \) - cost of intersecting object within,
- \( B \) - cost of intersecting bounding volume.

Which best?

Bounding Volumes Performance

- Tradeoff complexity versus closeness of fit.
- Transformed bounding volumes.
- Intersection of bounding volumes.
- Union of bounding volumes.
- What about hierarchies?

Why Hierarchies

- What is the complexity of the number of intersection tests for bounding volumes?
- What if you create a tree-like structure of bounding volumes?
- So using hierarchies can give a theoretical logarithmic time complexity.
- When enclosing several volumes with a new volume, the cost of doing the extra check must pay off.
- Hierarchies are not always simple to construct.

Bounding Volume Hierarchy

- Associate bounding volume with each node of scene graph
- If ray misses a node’s bounding volume, then no need to check any node beneath it
- If ray hits a node’s BV, then replace it with its children’s BV’s (or geometry)
- Breadth first search of tree
  - Maintain heap ordered by ray-BV intersection \( t \)-values
  - Explore children of node w/least pos. ray-BV \( t \)-value

Issues with Bounding Volumes

- No correct volume for all cases. Often a combination is best.
- No automatic way to determine the best volumes, can do a good job though.
- Placement of volumes usually requires help for really good results.

Spatial Subdivision

- Bounding volumes divide the space based on the objects.
- Instead lets just divide the space.
- Divide the space into voxels.
**Acceleration Classification**

**Uniform Space Subdivision**

- Divide space into equal size blocks (voxels)
- Test only voxels intersected by rays.
  - Notice anything interesting?
- How do we determine the next voxel to test?
  - 3D Bresenham algorithm

**Issues**

- Which object does this ray intersect?
- Consider this object?
  - Which voxels does it intersect?
- What happens at this voxel?
  - mailbox

**Tagging**

- Ray-object intersection test valid for ray with entire object
  - not just portion of object inside current cell
- Need only intersect object once for each ray
- In cell A – list = (#1)
  - Intersect r with #1? Yes
    - Miss → Tag #1 with no-intersection
- In cell B – list = [#2]
  - Intersect r with #2? Yes
    - ray r hits-object #2 but later in cell C
      - Tag object #2 with intersection-at-C
- In cell C – list = (#1,#2)
  - Intersect r with #1? No (no-intersection)
    - Intersect r with #2? No (intersection-at-D)
- In cell D – list = [#2]
  - Intersect r with #2? No (intersection-at-D)

**Issues with uniform**

- What affect does the size of the voxels have?
  - More voxels
    - Increased traversal time
    - Tighter fit of bounding volume
    - More memory
- Ideally, how many voxels/object do we want?
- Is this good or bad?
  - Why?

**Nonuniform (hierarchical)**

- Instead of having lots of empty little cells, lets have just a few empty big cells.
- This gives us a tree structure (hierarchy again!)
- Less voxels.
- But at what cost?
- Octrees.
- BSP trees.
Quadtrees

- Divide until a cell reaches minimum # of objects (often 1) or min voxel size.
- Can subdivide on the fly (dynamic) to help improve efficiency of octree.
  - Divide if voxel large or many objects
  - Divide if more than N rays (4 is good) and at least one hit object.
  - Divide if MK < N
    - M - # rays through cell that hit
    - k (2 or higher) user defined weight
    - If a voxel working why subdivide!

Octrees

- Divide until a cell reaches minimum # of objects (often 1) or min voxel size.
- Can subdivide on the fly (dynamic) to help improve efficiency of octree.
  - Divide if voxel large or many objects
  - Divide if more than N rays (4 is good) and at least one hit object.
  - Divide if MK < N
    - M - # rays through cell that hit
    - k (2 or higher) user defined weight
    - If a voxel working why subdivide!

Other Partitioning Structures

- Octree
  - Ray can parse through large empty areas
  - Requires less space than grid
  - Subdivision takes time
- Binary Space Partition (BSP) Tree
  - Planes can divide models nearly in half
  - Trees better balanced, shallower
  - Added ray-plane intersections

Shadow Caching

- Any interloper between surface point x and the light source s will cast a shadow
  - Doesn’t matter how many
  - Doesn’t matter which is closest
  - Stop ray intersections once any intersection found
- Neighboring shadowed surface points x and x' probably shadowed by the same object
  - Start shadow ray intersection search with object intersected in last shadow search

Other ways to increase

- $O(cNM)$
  - $N$ – Number of Rays
  - $M$ – Number of Objects
- $O(cN\log(M))$
- What about $c$
  - Don’t do extra work, only normalize if you have to (only do once)
  - Only calc hit point, Normal, etc. if it is the object hit (smallest +t)
- Parallel – ridiculously parallel
- Threads – best if bundle

Nonuniform vs Uniform
Extensions to Specification